

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,913 10/29/2003		10/29/2003	Gen Sasaki	244176US2 DIV 4521	
22850	7590 12/12/2006			EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN M	CCLEL	LAND	TRAN, NHAN T		
OBLON, SPIN	VAK. MO	CCLELLAND, MAI			
1940 DUKE S	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA	22314	2622		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/694,913	SASAKI, GEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nhan T. Tran	2622				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 28 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen 1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notic	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, filed 9/28/2006, with respect to new claim 28 have been fully considered and are persuasive.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed 9/28/2006, with respect to claim 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/19/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kapan (US 5,291,293) in view of Heller et al. (US 6,396,539 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,913

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 15, Kapan discloses an image processing circuit of an image input device (Fig. 1) which performs a predetermined image processing (amplifying) of an image photographed by an image pickup device having a pixel array (pixel array 10, 12) in said image input device, said circuit comprising:

Page 3

a real time processing unit (combined circuits 20-26) in which a predetermined general image processing of a pixel data in the image photographed by said image pickup device and inputted sequentially is performed by real time processing (see Fig. 1; col. 2, lines 21-44);

a main memory (36) disposed outside of said real time processing unit that stores a pixel data outputted from at least said real time processing unit (20-26), in image frame units (col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 7 and col. 4, lines 19-27; note that each line of pixels produces a frame since "frame" is broadly recited in the claim), and stores defective pixel addresses (col. 3, lines 4-7), wherein, said real time processing unit further comprises a defective pixel compensation block (26) that reads the defective pixel addresses (via switch enable line from control processor 34) stored in said main memory (36), and performs defective pixel compensation (by switching to either amplifier 22 or 20) when a pixel address of a pixel data residing in the image matches said defective pixel address, said defective pixel compensation performed in the pixel array sequence order (see Fig. 1 and col. 2, line 59 – col. 3, line 62, and note that the compensation is performed in sequence order by reading out the pixels in sequence and then compensating defective pixels in real time).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,913

Art Unit: 2622

Although Kapan discloses that the defective pixel locations (addresses) are stored in the main memory (36), Kapan does not explicitly disclose that the defective pixel addresses are stored in a pixel array sequence order (i.e., a tabular table) having an order of the pixel array in said image input device. In the same field of endeavor for compensating defective pixels of an image sensor, Heller teaches a practice for storing defective pixel addresses in a tabular table which corresponds to pixel array sequence order of an image sensor (see Heller, Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 40-45).

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrange and store the defective pixel addresses in Kapan in form of a pixel array sequence order such as a tabular table in view of the teaching of Heller so that the image processing circuit would quickly retrieve and map the defective addresses for defective compensation in real time.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 28 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

For both claims 16 & 28, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of all limitations of each of claims 16 & 28 that includes "...said defective pixel compensation block of said real processing unit comprises a shift register

Application/Control Number: 10/694,913 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

with a plurality of registers connected in series, to which defective pixel addresses stored in said main memory are inputted sequentially, and a comparator connected to a rearmost stage of said shift register in which an address count value of a pixel data inputted sequentially is compared with a defective pixel address provided from said rearmost stage and, when a match is found, a defective pixel timing signal is outputted, said shift register holds a defective pixel address, and output of said rearmost stage is looped to an input terminal of a foremost stage, said comparator is a comparator in which an address count value of a pixel data inputted sequentially is compared with a defective pixel address provided from said rearmost stage and, when a match is found, a shift timing signal and a defective pixel timing signal are outputted, and shift of said shift register is executed by said shift timing signal provided from said comparator."

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/694,913 Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,913

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

NHAN T. TRAN Patent Examiner

SUDTA JUNEAU PATENT EXAMINER